

Agency Summary

Agency Code: Agency Name:

CB0 Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia

(dollars in thousands)

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE

Cost Element Name:	Through FY 2004:	Budgeted FY 2005	Total:	Year 1 FY 2006:	Year 2 FY 2007:	Year 3 FY 2008:	Year 4 FY 2009:	Year 5 FY 2010:	Year 6 FY 2011:	6 Years Budget:	Total Budget:
(03) Project Management	0	0	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	80	80
(04) Construction	0	0	0	2,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000
(05) Equipment	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	70	70
Total:	0	0	0	2,150	0	0	0	0	0	2,150	2,150

FUNDING SCHEDULE

Cost Element Name:	Through FY 2004:	Budgeted FY 2005	Total:	Year 1 FY 2006:	Year 2 FY 2007:	Year 3 FY 2008:	Year 4 FY 2009:	Year 5 FY 2010:	Year 6 FY 2011:	6 Years Budget:	Total Budget:
GO Bonds - New (0300)	0	0	0	2,150	0	0	0	0	0	2,150	2,150
Total:	0	0	0	2,150	0	0	0	0	0	2,150	2,150

Agency Description:

Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia (CB)

Pursuant to D.C. Code Section 1-361, the Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia (OAG) has responsibility for handling all the legal business of the District of Columbia, including all suits instituted by and against the D.C. government. At any given time, over 14,000 matters are pending in the OAG, including appellate, civil, and criminal cases in litigation as well as affirmative litigation in the areas of tax and welfare fraud and consumer protection. The OAG also provides legal advice and opinions to executive branch agencies. The OAG handles legal matters that are typically handled by state attorneys general, district or state attorneys, and city or county attorneys. It initiates and defends civil litigation on behalf of the District; handles appeals before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit Court, the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, and the U.S. Supreme Court; represents the District in administrative proceedings before various boards and commissions; prosecutes certain criminal acts; and provides legal advice and opinions to agencies and officials in the executive branch. The office also prosecutes violations of District regulations, certain misdemeanor offenses, and all cases involving juvenile delinquency, neglect, child abuse, and persons in need of supervision. It renders legal opinions on pending congressional and District legislation affecting the District.

MAP



CB0 Agency Summary

Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia

(dollars in thousands)

ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT

Project Code: EN2	SubProject Code: 40	Agency Code: CB0	Implementing Agency Code: CB0
Project Name: Information Systems	Sub Project Name: Child Support Enforcement	Implementing Agency Name: Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbi	
Subproject Location: City-wide			

FTEs:	1
Personnel Services:	100
Non Personnel Services:	0
Maintenance Costs:	0

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE

Cost Element Name:	Through FY 2004:	Budgeted FY 2005	Total:	Year 1 FY 2006:	Year 2 FY 2007:	Year 3 FY 2008:	Year 4 FY 2009:	Year 5 FY 2010:	Year 6 FY 2011:	6 Years Budget:	Total Budget:
(03) Project Management	0	0	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	80	80
(04) Construction	0	0	0	2,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000
(05) Equipment	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	70	70
Total:	0	0	0	2,150	0	0	0	0	0	2,150	2,150

Milestone Data

Initial Authorization Date:	2004
Initial Cost:	6,304
Implementation Status:	New
Useful Life:	10
Ward:	District Wide
CIP Approval Criteria:	Efficiency Improvements
Functional Category:	Technology
Mayor's Policy Priority:	Children and Youth Inv
Program Category:	Gov't Direction & Support

FUNDING SCHEDULE

Cost Element Name:	Through FY 2004:	Budgeted FY 2005	Total:	Year 1 FY 2006:	Year 2 FY 2007:	Year 3 FY 2008:	Year 4 FY 2009:	Year 5 FY 2010:	Year 6 FY 2011:	6 Years Budget:	Total Budget:
GO Bonds - New (0300)	0	0	0	2,150	0	0	0	0	0	2,150	2,150
Total:	0	0	0	2,150	0	0	0	0	0	2,150	2,150

	Scheduled	Actual
Development of Scope:		10/02
Approval of A/E:		12/02
Notice to Proceed:		3/17/03
Final design Complete:	4/04	
OCP Executes Const Contract:	---	
NTP for Construction:	N/A	
Construction Complete:	N/A	
Project Closeout Date:	N/A	

Subproject Description:

Phase I

The purpose of this project is to perform a feasibility study to include proposing and researching alternative child support computer systems, analyzing each alternative child support computer system proposed through a detailed cost benefit analysis, ultimately identifying and recommending the best alternative child support computer system. The contractor will also be required to develop an Advanced Planning Document (APD) as well as a Request for Proposal (RFP) to acquire the best alternative child support computer system. The Child Support Enforcement Division (CSED) intends to award a three- (3) year contract to that responsible bidder whose bid, conforming to this invitation for bids, is most advantageous to the District, price and other factors considered.

Scope of Work:

The scope of work will include: assessment, Business Process Reengineering (BPR), system design, system construction, new equipment, and staff training.

MAP



City-wide